



Advantages, Challenges, and Opportunities of Machine Learning in Predicting Academic Achievement: A Literature Review

Mahdi Derakhshi¹, Morteza Piramoon², *Mohammad Saeedi³

¹Dean of Information and Communication Technology Unit, Mashhad Municipality Fire and Safety Services Organization, Mashhad, Iran.

²Bachelor of Science in Software Engineering, Information and Communication Technology Unit, Mashhad Municipality Fire and Safety Services Organization, Mashhad, Iran.

³M.S. in Software Engineering, Information and Communication Technology Unit, Mashhad Municipality Fire and Safety Services Organization, Mashhad, Iran.

Abstract

Background: Advancements in machine learning (ML) have revolutionized the analysis of complex educational data. Algorithms such as k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) and Support Vector Machines (SVM) enable early identification of students at risk of academic failure, facilitating timely interventions. This study reviews the advantages, limitations, opportunities, and challenges associated with ML in predicting student success.

Materials and Methods: In this narrative review, a literature search was conducted in both Persian and English across online databases, including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, SID, CIVILICA, and Google Scholar, covering studies up to March 2025. Two researchers independently screened and selected relevant articles and extracted key data.

Results: Analysis of the literature reveals that machine learning algorithms, such as K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and deep learning, offer substantial improvements in predicting academic success and tracking students' academic progression. Their high predictive accuracy supports the timely identification of at-risk students, facilitates data-driven educational decisions, and enables scalable, adaptive interventions. Nonetheless, the full impact of these technologies depends on the availability of high-quality data and is tempered by challenges such as algorithmic bias, limited interpretability of complex models, technical and resource constraints, and ethical concerns regarding privacy and fairness. Looking forward, integrating explainable models, enhancing adaptability to diverse educational contexts, and leveraging personalized, technology-driven learning environments are identified as pivotal to maximizing the educational benefits of machine learning.

Conclusion: Machine learning significantly enhances education by accurately predicting and early identifying at-risk students. However, unlocking its full potential requires addressing challenges related to data quality, privacy, bias, transparency, and infrastructure. Future progress depends on developing flexible, fair, and culturally sensitive models to ensure the ethical and effective application of ML across diverse educational environments.

Key Words: Academic Achievement Prediction, Challenges, Machine Learning, Student Success.

*Please cite this article as: Derakhshi M, Piramoon M, Saeedi M. Advantages, Challenges, and Opportunities of Machine Learning in Predicting Academic Achievement: A Literature Review. Med Edu Bull 2025; 6(1): 1093-1102. DOI: [10.22034/MEB.2025.537926.1119](https://doi.org/10.22034/MEB.2025.537926.1119)

*Corresponding Author:

Mohammad Saeedi, Mashhad Municipality Fire and Safety Services Organization, Mashhad, Iran.

Email: m_saeedi884@yahoo.com

Received date: Mar. 11, 2025; Accepted date: Jun.22, 2025

1- INTRODUCTION

In recent years, advancements in machine learning (ML) technologies have created transformative opportunities in the field of education. Algorithms such as k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and ensemble learning methods have been widely used to analyze complex and large-scale educational data that traditional analytical techniques struggle to interpret effectively (1–4). These algorithms uncover hidden and nonlinear patterns within educational datasets, opening new avenues for studying academic progression and predicting student success (5–8).

A critical challenge in higher education is the early identification of students at risk of academic failure, which can lead to negative outcomes such as decreased motivation, dropout, and a decline in overall educational quality (9, 10). Machine learning-based tools enable timely and accurate detection of vulnerable students, facilitating the development of targeted interventions that improve learning outcomes (11–13).

Despite the promising capabilities of ML in educational contexts, several challenges remain. These include the dependency on high-quality and comprehensive data, the potential for algorithmic bias arising from imbalanced or historically biased datasets, and ethical concerns related to privacy and fairness (14–17).

This study aims to provide a comprehensive review of the advantages, limitations, opportunities, and challenges associated with applying machine learning algorithms to analyze academic progression and predict student success.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study Design

This research was conducted as a narrative review aimed at analyzing the

application, advantages, disadvantages, and challenges of machine learning algorithms in predicting academic success and analyzing students' academic progress. In this approach, published scholarly articles were critically and integratively reviewed to provide a comprehensive overview of the current state of knowledge.

2-2. Literature Search

A systematic and comprehensive literature search was performed using selected keywords such as “machine learning,” “academic success prediction,” “academic progress analysis,” “k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN),” “Support Vector Machine (SVM),” and “dropout management.” The search was conducted in both Persian and English across reputable international databases including Scopus, Web of Science, IEEE Xplore, Google Scholar, as well as domestic databases such as SID and CIVILICA. The timeframe spanned from the earliest available resources up to the end of March 2025 to ensure coverage of the most up-to-date and relevant studies.

2-3. Screening and Study Selection

The data collection and screening processes were independently conducted by two researchers. Duplicate entries were removed, and titles and abstracts were screened to assess relevance to the review topic. Discrepancies between researchers were resolved through consensus following in-depth discussions. Selected articles were further evaluated based on the quality of reporting and relevance to the research objectives.

2-4. Data Synthesis and Analysis

Following the final selection of studies, key information and findings from each article were independently extracted and compiled by two reviewers. These data encompassed the advantages, limitations, challenges, and future opportunities associated with the use of machine

learning algorithms for predicting academic success. The extracted information was systematically examined and organized in a tabular format. Any discrepancies between the reviewers were resolved through discussion and consensus to ensure the accuracy, validity, and reliability of the collected data.

2-5. Ethical Considerations

As this study exclusively utilized data and findings from previously published articles without collecting primary data or involving direct human participation, ethical considerations focused on maintaining scientific integrity. These included accurate and complete citation of sources, transparency in methodological description, impartiality in study selection and analysis, and respect for the privacy and rights of participants in the original studies. These practices ensured adherence to both scientific rigor and ethical standards.

3- RESULTS

This section provides a comprehensive review of multiple studies, highlighting the role of machine learning (ML) algorithms in analyzing academic progression and predicting student success. It discusses the benefits, limitations, future opportunities, and challenges involved in implementing these technologies.

3-1. Advantages of Using Machine Learning Algorithms

- **High Accuracy and Predictive Power:** Machine learning algorithms such as k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), ensemble learning, and deep learning methods have demonstrated remarkable performance in predicting academic achievement. By uncovering hidden, nonlinear, and complex patterns within educational data, these algorithms significantly outperform traditional

statistical methods. Numerous studies report improved predictions of student success, dropout risk, and overall academic progress. Furthermore, ensemble methods and deep learning architectures enhance predictive capabilities by effectively capturing intricate feature interactions (19–24).

- **Early Identification of At-Risk Students:** ML techniques can process large and complex datasets to promptly and accurately detect students at risk of academic failure or dropout. This early identification enables timely, targeted interventions designed to enhance educational outcomes (25).
- **Data-Driven Decision Making and Resource Optimization:** Insights derived from ML analyses assist educational managers and policymakers in optimizing resource allocation and designing customized programs that improve learning effectiveness (26, 27).
- **Real-Time Monitoring and Adaptive Intervention:** Certain ML frameworks support continuous tracking of student progress, allowing dynamic adjustment of interventions tailored to each learner's evolving strengths and challenges (25).
- **Automation and Scalability:** Automated data analysis accelerates decision-making, reduces human workload, and facilitates large-scale implementation of ML technologies across diverse educational environments. By automating routine data processing and predictive analytics, ML systems help educators and administrators efficiently manage increasing volumes of data with consistency. This scalability is essential for adapting to expanding educational infrastructures and heterogeneous student populations, while ensuring timely interventions (28, 29).

- **Continuous Learning Capabilities:** ML models have the capacity to update themselves with new incoming data, thereby improving predictive performance over time and adapting flexibly to changes in curricula, student demographics, and learning contexts. This continuous learning is critical for maintaining model relevance and robustness in dynamic educational settings. Incremental and online learning paradigms allow models to evolve without complete retraining, reducing computational costs and facilitating real-time updates (28, 30–32).

3-2. Limitations and Challenges

- **Dependence on Data Quality and Completeness:** The performance of ML models is highly dependent on comprehensive, accurate, and clean datasets. Missing, biased, or inconsistent data can significantly degrade model effectiveness (33–36).
- **Bias and Fairness Concerns:** Models trained on unbalanced or non-representative datasets risk reinforcing existing disparities, potentially leading to unfair treatment of certain student groups (14, 37, 38).
- **Model Complexity and Interpretability Issues:** Advanced models, such as deep neural networks, often operate as “black boxes,” which poses challenges for interpretability, transparency, and user trust (39–42).
- **Technological and Expertise Requirements:** The implementation of ML necessitates robust computational infrastructure, specialized software, and skilled personnel—resources which may be scarce, particularly in low-resource or underdeveloped educational settings (34, 43).

- **Data Integration and Standardization Challenges:** Effective combination of heterogeneous data sources requires consistent standards and integration methodologies, which are often insufficiently developed (25, 44, 45).
- **Privacy, Ethical, and Legal Issues:** Managing sensitive student data demands strict adherence to privacy regulations and ethical standards to ensure confidentiality and build trust among stakeholders (34, 46).
- **Limited Generalizability across Diverse Educational Contexts:** ML models may exhibit reduced performance when applied beyond their original cultural or systemic contexts, restricting their broader applicability and adoption (41, 43, 47).

3-3. Opportunities and Future Directions

- **Personalized and Adaptive Learning:** Machine learning (ML) enables the development of individualized educational experiences tailored to students’ unique strengths, weaknesses, and learning styles. This personalized approach has the potential to enhance student engagement and improve academic outcomes (25, 48, 49).
- **Informed Educational Policy and Strategy:** ML-driven data analytics facilitate evidence-based policymaking, leading to enhanced educational equity, optimized resource allocation, and increased effectiveness of educational systems (34, 50).
- **Integration with Emerging Educational Technologies:** Combining ML with learning management systems, educational platforms, and digital tools improves accessibility and interactivity, fostering pedagogical innovation and

modernizing teaching–learning environments (25, 44, 45).

- **Development of Explainable and Transparent Models:** Recent advances in interpretable and transparent ML approaches aim to make predictive models more understandable and trustworthy, thereby encouraging broader adoption among educators, policymakers, and stakeholders (30, 39).
- **Multi-Modal Data Utilization:** Leveraging diverse data sources—including academic performance, behavioral patterns, social interactions, and psychological indicators—provides richer insights, enabling more comprehensive and accurate predictions of student success (25, 41).
- **Addressing Cultural and Structural Diversity:** Future ML model development should prioritize adaptability across different educational systems, languages, and cultural contexts to ensure fairness, relevance, and broader global applicability (47, 51).

In summary, machine learning has demonstrated significant advantages in predicting academic success and supporting effective educational interventions through high accuracy, early identification of at-risk students, and enabling data-driven personalized learning (25, 51, 52). Nonetheless, persistent challenges remain, including a strong dependency on data quality and completeness, issues related to model interpretability and transparency, inherent risks of bias, and substantial infrastructural and expertise requirements within educational institutions (30, 41, 43, 53). Progress toward developing more transparent and interpretable models, standardizing heterogeneous educational data, and establishing robust ethical and legal governance frameworks will be

critical to fully realizing the transformative potential of ML in education (34, 39, 46). Integrating technological advancements with sound policy development and inclusive, culturally responsive design offers promising pathways to enhance learning outcomes and equity on a global scale (27, 41).

4- DISCUSSION

This narrative review aimed to provide a comprehensive and analytical overview of the advantages, limitations, opportunities, and challenges associated with applying machine learning (ML) algorithms in analyzing academic progression and predicting student success. The findings indicate that algorithms such as k-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and ensemble learning methods not only enhance prediction accuracy but also facilitate timely identification of students at risk of academic decline, thereby enabling personalized interventions (25, 30).

As an emerging tool in educational transformation, machine learning offers a unique capacity to analyze complex and large-scale educational datasets that traditional methods often fail to interpret, uncovering hidden patterns and trends (54, 55). By empowering educational administrators through data-driven decision-making, ML supports the optimal allocation of resources tailored to the genuine needs of students (28).

A key advantage of ML models is their ability to learn continuously and adapt to changing educational environments—such as curriculum updates and shifting student demographics—thereby maintaining predictive performance in dynamic settings (31). Especially, ML-based systems capable of online updating facilitate real-time monitoring of students' academic status and enable timely, tailored interventions that may reduce dropout rates (25).

Despite these benefits, substantial challenges impede the widespread implementation of ML in education. Paramount among these are issues related to data quality and comprehensiveness, as collecting accurate, complete, and error-free data in educational contexts is often hindered by technical, cultural, and ethical factors (43). Protecting students' privacy and securing sensitive educational data is another critical concern, which necessitates employing advanced techniques such as differential privacy and federated learning to ensure secure data analysis (56, 57).

Another significant challenge involves biases present in data and algorithms, which can lead to unfair decisions and exacerbate educational inequalities. Mitigating these biases requires adopting fair learning methodologies, continuous monitoring and evaluation of models, and selecting balanced and representative datasets (58–60). Furthermore, the need for sophisticated infrastructure and skilled personnel presents practical constraints on broader and effective adoption of ML technologies in many educational settings (43).

From a developmental standpoint, integrating ML with other artificial intelligence technologies—such as natural language processing (NLP) and intelligent recommender systems—opens new possibilities for deeper behavioral analyses and more precise predictions of academic success (30). Moreover, fostering model adaptability to diverse cultural, linguistic, and educational contexts is essential for enhancing global applicability and fairness (61).

Finally, aligning ML models with cognitive theories and educational psychology can advance the creation of tools that transcend mere prediction, actively supporting individual and social learning processes and promoting more holistic educational development.

5- CONCLUSION

Based on a comprehensive review of multiple studies, it can be concluded that machine learning (ML) algorithms play a central and transformative role in analyzing academic progression and predicting student success. These technologies not only offer higher accuracy and superior predictive power compared to traditional statistical methods but also enable the early and precise identification of at-risk students by uncovering complex and nonlinear patterns within educational data. Furthermore, the continuous learning capabilities and scalability of ML models facilitate timely updates and broad implementation across diverse educational settings.

However, significant challenges—including heavy dependence on data quality, risks of bias and inequality, model complexity and lack of transparency, ethical and privacy concerns, as well as infrastructural and expertise limitations—impede the full and equitable utilization of these technologies. Therefore, sustained success in applying machine learning requires effective management of these challenges through the development of standardized data frameworks, appropriate infrastructures, and the design of transparent, interpretable, and adaptable models that account for cultural and structural diversity in educational contexts. By advancing adaptive learning techniques, explainable models, multimodal data integration, and attention to socio-cultural factors, machine learning can serve as a crucial tool for personalized education, evidence-based policymaking, and the promotion of educational equity, ultimately opening new avenues for improving education systems worldwide.

6- AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

Study conception or design: MD, and MS; Data analyzing and draft manuscript preparation: MP, and MS; Critical revision of

the paper: MD; Supervision of the research: MS; Final approval of the version to be published: MD, MP, and MS.

7- CONFLICT OF INTEREST: None.

8- REFERENCES

1. Kinjal, S. M. Parida, J. Suthar, and S. D. Pande, "Predicting Academic Success: A Comparative Study of Machine Learning and Clustering-Based Subject Recommendation Models. *EAI Endorsed Trans IoT*, 2024;10, Mar. 2024. DOI:10.4108/eetiot.5378.
2. Guanin-Fajardo, J. H., Guaña-Moya, J., & Casillas, J. Predicting Academic Success of College Students Using Machine Learning Techniques. *Data*, 2024;9(4): 60. <https://doi.org/10.3390/data9040060>.
3. Villar, A., de Andrade, C.R.V. Supervised machine learning algorithms for predicting student dropout and academic success: a comparative study. *Discov Artif Intell* 2024;4(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44163-023-00079-z>.
4. M. Wu, G. Subramaniam, D. Zhu, C. Li, H. Ding and Y. Zhang. Using Machine Learning-based Algorithms to Predict Academic Performance - A Systematic Literature Review. 2024 4th International Conference on Innovative Practices in Technology and Management (ICIPTM), Noida, India, 2024, pp. 1-8, doi: 10.1109/ICIPTM59628.2024.10563566.
5. Munaf Salim Najim Al-Din. Students' Academic Performance Prediction Using Educational Data Mining and Machine Learning: A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science*, International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS), 2024;8(8): 1264-91. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.808095>.
6. Noor Fadzilah Ab Rahman, Shir Li Wang, & Nurkaliza Khalid. Ensemble Learning In Educational Data Analysis for Improved Prediction of Student Performance: A Literature Review. *International Journal of Modern Education (IJMOE)*, 2025;7(24). <https://doi.org/10.35631/IJMOE.724064>.
7. Namoun, A., & Alshanqiti, A Predicting Student Performance Using Data Mining and Learning Analytics Techniques: A Systematic Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 2021;11(1): 237. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11010237>.
8. H. Alamri L, S. Almuslim R, S. Alotibi M, K. Alkadi D, Ullah Khan I, Aslam N. Predicting student academic performance using support vector machine and random forest. In *Proceedings of the 2020 3rd international conference on education technology management 2020*; Dec 17:pp. 100-107.
9. López-García, Aarón & Blasco-Blasco, Olga & Liern-García, Marina & Parada-Rico, Sandra E. Early detection of students' failure using Machine Learning techniques. *Operations Research Perspectives*, Elsevier, 2023;11(C). doi: 10.1016/j.orp.2023.100292.
10. Pek RZ, Özyer ST, Elhage T, Özyer T, Alhadj R. The role of machine learning in identifying students at-risk and minimizing failure. *IEEE Access*. 2022 Dec 28;11:1224-43.
11. Ayeni OO, Al Hamad NM, Chisom ON, Osawaru B, Adewusi OE. AI in education: A review of personalized learning and educational technology. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024 Feb;18(2):261-71.
12. du Plooy E, Casteleijn D, Franzsen D. Personalized adaptive learning in higher education: A scoping review of key characteristics and impact on academic performance and engagement. *Heliyon*. 2024 Oct 22;10(21):e39630. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e39630. PMID: 39524879; PMCID: PMC11544060.
13. Trivedi S. Improving students' retention using machine learning: Impacts and implications. *ScienceOpen Preprints*. 2022 Aug 13. DOI:10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-PPZMB0B.v1.
14. Addressing Bias in Machine Learning Algorithms: Promoting Fairness and Ethical Design
14. Dhabliya D, Dari SS, Dhablia A, Akhila N, Kachhoria R, Khetani V. Addressing bias in machine learning algorithms: Promoting

fairness and ethical design. InE3S Web of Conferences 2024; 491: 02040. EDP Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202449102040>

15. Patel DB. Ethical AI: Addressing Bias and Fairness in Machine Learning Models for Decision-making. *Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies*. 2021;3(1):13-7.

16. Mavrogiorgos, K., Kiourtis, A., Mavrogiorgou, A., Menychtas, A., & Kyriazis, D. Bias in Machine Learning: A Literature Review. *Applied Sciences*, 2024;14(19): 8860. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14198860>.

17. Gianfrancesco MA, Tamang S, Yazdany J, Schmajuk G. Potential Biases in Machine Learning Algorithms Using Electronic Health Record Data. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2018 Nov 1;178(11):1544-47. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.3763. PMID: 30128552; PMCID: PMC6347576.

18. Hashemi A., Ghasemi Y. Doing a Research by Thematic Analysis: A Practical, Step-by-Step Guide for Learning and Teaching (Case Study: Music usage of M.A. Students at Ilam University). *A Scientific Journal of Ilam Culture*, 2020; 20(64.65): 7-33.

19. Tong T, Li Z. Predicting learning achievement using ensemble learning with result explanation. *PLoS One*. 2025 Jan 2;20(1):e0312124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0312124. PMID: 39745993; PMCID: PMC11694977.

20. Kornwipa Poonpon, Wirapong Chansanam, Kittichai Nilubol, Banachakarn Sameephet, Arnon Jannok, Bhirawit Sathamnuwong, et al. Enhancing Predictive Accuracy in Educational Assessment: A Comparative Analysis of Machine Learning Models for Predicting Student Performance. *Review of Contemporary Philosophy*, 2024; 23(1): 142-60.

21. Noorani, S. F., Karimi, M., Gholijafari, Z. Using Ensemble Machine Learning and Feature Engineering to Increase the Accuracy of Predicting Learners' Performance in an Online Educational Environment. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Virtual Learning in Medical Sciences*, 2024; 15(4): 369-387. doi: 10.30476/ijvlms.2024.101157.1279.

22. S. B. Kotsiantis. Supervised Machine Learning: A Review of Classification Techniques. *Informatica*, 2007;31(3):249-68.

23. C. R. Romero and S. Ventura. Educational Data Mining: A Review of the State of the Art. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Reviews*, 2010;40(6):601–18.

24. Angeioplastis, A., Aliprantis, J., Konstantakis, M., Tsimpiris, A. Predicting Student Performance and Enhancing Learning Outcomes: A Data-Driven Approach Using Educational Data Mining Techniques. *Computers*, 2025;14(3): 83. <https://doi.org/10.3390/computers14030083>.

25. Kok, C. L., Ho, C. K., Chen, L., Koh, Y. Y., & Tian, B. A Novel Predictive Modeling for Student Attrition Utilizing Machine Learning and Sustainable Big Data Analytics. *Applied Sciences*, 2024;14(21): 9633. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14219633>.

26. Ben Claus, Daniel Ross, Abiodun Okunola, Abdul Liam. The Role of Machine Learning in Data-Driven Decision- Making. 2024. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387365444_The_Role_of_Machine_Learning_in_Data-Driven_Decision-Making.

27. Rounan Jin, Yan Peng, Zheng Wang, Juan Wang, Jianjuan Tang, Meiqin Zhang. Data-Driven Educational Decision-Making: How to Enhance Educational Quality and Management Efficiency. *Journal of Higher Education Research*, 2025; 5(6):550- 3. doi: 10.32629/jher.v5i6.3385.

28. Educational data mining and learning analytics (Baker, R. S., & Siemens, G. Educational data mining and learning analytics. In K. Sawyer (Ed.). *Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences* (2nd ed., pp. 253–274): Cambridge University Press; 2014.

29. Pardos, Z. A., Baker, R. S., San Pedro, M., Gowda, S. M., & Gowda, S. M. Affective States and State Tests: Investigating How Affect and Engagement during the School Year Predict End-of-Year Learning Outcomes. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 2014;1(1): 107-28. <https://doi.org/10.18608/jla.2014.11.6>.

30. Almalawi, A., Soh, B., Li, A., & Samra, H. Predictive Models for Educational Purposes: A Systematic Review. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 2024;8(12): 187. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bdcc8120187>.
31. Gama J, Žliobaitė I, Bifet A, Pechenizkiy M, Bouchachia A. A survey on concept drift adaptation. *ACM computing surveys (CSUR)*. 2014 Mar 1;46(4):1-37.
32. Alnasyan B, Basher M, Alassafi M. Deep learning techniques for predicting student's academic performance on virtual learning environments: A review. 2024. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-3888441/v1.
33. Onyema EM, Almuzaini KK, Onu FU, Verma D, Gregory US, Puttaramaiah M, Afriyie RK. Prospects and Challenges of Using Machine Learning for Academic Forecasting. *Comput Intell Neurosci*. 2022 Jun 17;2022:5624475. doi:10.1155/2022/5624475.
34. M. K. K. Alsou and R. A. I. Alsaireh, "Data-Driven Decision-Making in Education: Leveraging AI for School Improvement," 2024 International Conference on Knowledge Engineering and Communication Systems (ICKECS), Chikkaballapur, India, 2024, pp. 1-6. doi:10.1109/ICKECS61492.2024.10616616.
35. Kotsiantis, S.B., Kanellopoulos, D. and Pintelas, P.E. Data Preprocessing for Supervised Learning. *International Journal of Computer Science*, 2006;1: 111-17.
36. Chicco D. Ten quick tips for machine learning in computational biology. *BioData Min*. 2017 Dec 8;10:35. doi: 10.1186/s13040-017-0155-3.
37. Chong Ho Yu , Janet Hanson, Zhijuan Zhang. 2024 machine learning in Educational Science. ISBN 978-981-99-9378-9. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382694319_2024_machine_learning_in_Educational_Science.
38. Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K. and Galstyan, A. A Survey on Bias and Fairness in Machine Learning. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 2021;54: 1-35. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3457607>.
39. Rudin, C. Stop Explaining Black Box Machine Learning Models for High Stakes Decisions and Use Interpretable Models Instead. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 2019;1: 206-15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0048-x>.
40. Watson, D.S. Conceptual challenges for interpretable machine learning. *Synthese* 2022;200:65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-022-03485-5>.
41. Barbierato, E., Gatti, A. The Challenges of Machine Learning: A Critical Review. *Electronics*, 2024;13(2): 416. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13020416>.
42. Liu Z, Xu F. Interpretable neural networks: principles and applications. *Front Artif Intell*. 2023 Oct 13;6:974295. doi:10.3389/frai.2023.974295.
43. Tilman Rauker, Anson Ho, Stephen Casper. oward Transparent AI: A Survey on Interpreting the Inner Structures of Deep Neural Networks. Conference: 2023 IEEE Conference on Secure and Trustworthy Machine Learning (SaTML). doi:10.1109/SaTML54575.2023.00039.
44. A. L'Heureux, K. Grolinger, H. F. Elyamany and M. A. M. Capretz, "Machine Learning with Big Data: Challenges and Approaches," in *IEEE Access*, 2017; 5:7776-97. doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2696365.
45. Shan Suthaharan. *Machine Learning Models and Algorithms for Big Data Classification: Thinking with Examples for Effective Learning*. Publisher: Springer US. ISBN: 978-1-4899-7640-6. doi:10.1007/978-1-4899-7641-3.
46. Dwork C. Differential privacy: A survey of results. In *International conference on theory and applications of models of computation* 2008 Apr 25 (pp. 1-19). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-79228-4_1.
47. Roshanaei, M., Olivares, H. and Lopez, R. Harnessing AI to Foster Equity in Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Emerging Strategies. *Journal of Intelligent Learning Systems and Applications*, 2023;15: 123-43. doi: 10.4236/jilsa.2023.154009.

48. Luan H, Geczy P, Lai H, Gobert J, Yang SJH, Ogata H, Baltes J, Guerra R, Li P, Tsai CC. Challenges and Future Directions of Big Data and Artificial Intelligence in Education. *Front Psychol.* 2020 Oct 19;11:580820. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.580820.
49. Uc Castillo JL, Marín Celestino AE, Martínez Cruz DA, Tuxpan Vargas J, Ramos Leal JA, Morán Ramírez J. A systematic review of Machine Learning and Deep Learning approaches in Mexico: challenges and opportunities. *Front Artif Intell.* 2025 Jan 7;7:1479855. doi: 10.3389/frai.2024.1479855.
50. Maria, A., Maria Paz, P. E. ., & Isabel, G. P. Data-driven decision making as a model to improve in primary education. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 2023;10(1): 36–42. <https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i1.4337>.
51. M. R. Rimadana, S. S. Kusumawardani, P. I. Santosa and M. S. F. Erwianda, "Predicting Student Academic Performance using Machine Learning and Time Management Skill Data," 2019 International Seminar on Research of Information Technology and Intelligent Systems (ISRITI), Yogyakarta, Indonesia, 2019, pp. 511-515, doi: 10.1109/ISRITI48646.2019.9034585.
52. Taher Mazandarani, M.; Zand, Z.; Khodabandelou, M. H.; Mozaffari, F. & Sohrabi, B. Predicting Student Academic Performance: A Machine Learning Approach and Feature Analysis. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Management Studies (IJMS)*, 2025;18(3): 425-40. <http://doi.org/10.22059/ijms.2025.362506.676053>.
53. Kim, D., Woo, H., & Lee, Y. Addressing Bias and Fairness Using Fair Federated Learning: A Synthetic Review. *Electronics*, 2024;13(23): 4664. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics13234664>.
54. Anjali Jagwani. A Review of Machine Learning In Education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research* (www.jetir.org), ISSN:2349-5162, Vol.6, Issue 5, page no.384-386, May-2019, Available from: <http://www.jetir.org/papers/JETIR1905658.pdf>
55. Obeng Owusu-Boateng, Abdul-Mumin Khalid, Ben Kudus Yussif .Machine learning in education. Deep Science Publishing. https://doi.org/10.70593/978-93-49307-53-7_1. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/390919853_Machine_learning_in_education.
56. Mehmet Emre Gursoy, Ali Inan, Mehmet Ercan Nergiz, and Yucel Saygin. Privacy-Preserving Learning Analytics: Challenges and Techniques. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 2017;10(1):68-81.
57. Shalabi, E., Khedr, W., Rushdy, E., & Salah, A. A Comparative Study of Privacy-Preserving Techniques in Federated Learning: A Performance and Security Analysis. *Information*, 2025;16(3): 244. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16030244>.
58. Nga Pham, Hung Pham Ngoc, Anh Nguyen-Duc. Fairness for machine learning software in education: A systematic mapping study. *Journal of Systems and Software*, 2025; 219: 1-24.
59. Pagano, T. P., Loureiro, R. B., Lisboa, F. V. N., Peixoto, R. M., Guimarães, G. A. S., Cruz, G. O. R., et al. Bias and Unfairness in Machine Learning Models: A Systematic Review on Datasets, Tools, Fairness Metrics, and Identification and Mitigation Methods. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 2023;7(1): 15.
60. Van Busum, K., & Fang, S. Interactive Mitigation of Biases in Machine Learning Models for Undergraduate Student Admissions. *AI*, 2025;6(7): 152. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ai6070152>.
61. Shima, Hajime and Khern-am-nuai, Warut and Kannan, Karthik Natarajan and Cohen, Maxime C., Addressing Fairness in Machine Learning Predictions: Strategic Best-Response Fair Discriminant Removed Algorithm (January 17, 2024). Available from: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3389631> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3389631>.